

IN THE EMPLOYMENT APPEAL TRIBUNAL

UKEATPA/0605/14/RN and UKEATPA/0483/14/RN

B E T W E E N:

Dr Bijlani (“A”)

-and-

BAR COUNCIL (“R1”)

BAR STANDARDS BOARD (“R2”)

PAMELA BHALLA – Head of R’s E&D (“R3”)

-
- SKELETON : A’s entitlement to
- (i) judgment in her favour since 15.10.17 / 12.05.14
 - (ii) ££ compensation for the EAT’s unlawful delay / conduct
 - (iii) Legal representation on 05.10.18 (or the hearing adjourned to comply with article 6)
-

Since 15.10.17 R has not defended A’s appeal / claims or responded to the EAT, and in law, A has won, on all issues, and must be compensated for the EAT’s unlawful, and biased, conduct each second since (12.05.14), especially in light of Solanki v Intercity Telecom Ltd [2018] EWCA Civ 101.

A 'fundamental principle' of justice is the court cannot allow itself to (a) be “party to improper conduct” (b) act unlawfully (c) act partially / with bias / racism. Further, all concerned have a statutory duty to make reasonable adjustments, in light of A’s incapacity of “reactive depression” since 06.02.06, and medical inability to self-represent. Instead, each R and the courts ET / EAT / CA have mentally tortured A, deliberately acted unlawfully, breached her human rights to an intolerable degree, and exacerbated her incapacity, justifying (life) imprisonment for all involved, and A to be compensated ££ trillions.

The integrity of the courts is tainted, and to an impartial observer the courts have sanctioned or colluded in R’s and its own misconduct, and all their decisions fall to be set aside.

Improper conduct

1. It is not in issue that A’s Chambers, R and the courts, have been party to very serious improper conduct, each day since 2009. E.g. see A’s emails, <https://investigative-journalism.org/2018/07/29/unlawful-judges-breach-human-rights-of-top-london-barrister>, and www.twitter.com/BijlaniDr
2. No court has addressed the issues, or imprisoned the perpetrators, despite EJ Pearl on 20.12.13 stating their “fraud” was clear to him, in open court in front of R’s solicitors.
3. Instead, the CA has admitted destroying A’s Bundles pre-hearing in 2014, 2015 and 2016, but failed to compensate A or re-copy the Bundles, or set aside the unlawful decisions against A, despite Solanki v Intercity Telecom Ltd and others [2018] EWCA Civ 101.

Unlawful conduct

4. See A's emails, Letters of Claim v EAT and ET, and Skeletons. Unlawful conduct by the EAT to date, despite the clarity of A's EAT Form 1 of 12.05.14, and request to the EAT to make reasonable adjustments and obtain any further documents from R or the ET. By letter of 07.05.14 EJ Potter, addressed A's complaints against EJ Wade and advised A to appeal to the EAT and apply for her recusal, and A promptly did so. Instead, in contempt of the appeal process, medical reports, and application for her recusal, EJ Wade dismissed A's entire claim without addressing any issue in A's Claim Form, and the EAT has acted unlawfully each day since, exacerbated A's incapacity and breached A's human rights to an intolerable degree.
5. In law, only a legally-qualified impartial Judge should have addressed the issues. But the EAT has uneducated / inept / racist employees like Robert Newton and ors, Registrar, Deputy Registrar, none of whom have been to University, let alone obtained a law degree or practised as a lawyer, or with the insight to seek / defer to legal expertise. The EAT's President, Langstaff J, has had actual / constructive knowledge of all the above, but instead of setting aside unlawful decisions against A, and ensuring all the perpetrators are imprisoned, he unlawfully:
 - a. made an unmeritorious referral of A to R2 (which R2 promptly dismissed);
 - b. concealed his data with R2 and the police;
 - c. made unlawful Orders against A;
 - d. acted in contempt of *Solanki* [2018] EWCA Civ 101;
 - e. failed to send A sealed copies of the EAT Orders, to allow A to appeal to the CA;
 - f. breached A's human rights to an intolerable degree and exacerbated A's injury.

Bias / partial conduct

6. Since 15.10.17 R has not defended A's appeal / claims or responded to the EAT, and in law, A has won, on all issues, and must be compensated for the EAT's unlawful, and biased, conduct since (12.05.14). Unlawfully, the EAT has given R extra time to respond (e.g see 20.03.18, 10.04.18 etc), but R and its lawyers have acted in Contempt of Court, ever since.
7. Registrar, Miss N Daly has exhibited actual bias & acted in contempt of *Solanki* [2018], in finding against A, and has failed to seek / defer to legal expertise, despite A spoon-feeding her on the law, and urging her to speak to any credible lawyer.
8. Knowing the above, Bar's Chair, Andrew Walker QC and each R have failed to admit liability, and uneducated / racists at EAT / BMIF have unlawfully failed to ensure A wins, or is legally represented on 05.10.18. A's request for an unconflicted High Court Judge outside London has been ignored for years, and each second's delay to her win means the Judge appointed for 05.10.18 will also be imprisoned for the unlawful delay, despite this Skeleton of 01.10.18.

Reasonable adjustments

9. See *Solanki v Intercity Telecom Ltd and others* [2018] EWCA Civ 101.
10. All the decisions against A have no legal validity.

01.10.18