

\$~29

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(CRL) 55/2015

AMAR NATH SAINI Petitioner
Through Petitioner in-person

versus

THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE & ORS Respondents
Through Ms. Srilina Roy for Ms. Nandita Rao,
ASC (Crl.)
SI Pawan Tomar, P.S. Prashant Vihar
Mr. Pradeep Arya, Adv. for R-4
Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv. for R-6 and 7

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SIDDHARTH MRIDUL

ORDER

% **13.08.2015**

Ms. Srilina Roy, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms. Nandita Rao, learned Additional Standing Counsel (Criminal), on instructions from SI Pawan Tomar, Police Station Prashant Vihar, Delhi, states that the respondents shall afford adequate protection to the petitioner herein after assessing the threat perception. Learned counsel further states that the Beat Constable of the concerned area shall be sensitized in this behalf and his telephone number shall be provided to the petitioner so as to enable the petitioner to approach him in the event of any threat or apprehension.

At this juncture, Mr. Pradeep Arya and Mr. Amit Kumar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent nos. 4; and 6-7 respectively state that the petitioner, who is a lawyer, need not apprehend any threat or apprehension insofar as their clients, who are also the lawyers, are concerned.

The petitioner, who appears in person, agrees to the statement made by Mr. Arya and Mr. Kumar on behalf of the respondents they represent, that he

does not apprehend any harm at the hands of the said respondents at this juncture.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

SIDDHARTH MRIDUL, J

AUGUST 13, 2015

sd